



# Capitol Voice

## Gov. Brown Gives Renewable Energy Goals the Green Light!

By **Jim Metropulos, Senior Advocate**

On April 12, California Governor Jerry Brown signed SB x1 2, requiring public and private utilities to obtain 33 percent of their electricity from renewable energy sources by 2020. The new renewable power standard (RPS) established by the bill will electrify the Golden State's economy with new jobs, while reducing the pollution that causes climate disruption.

California previously required its three investor-owned utilities to generate 20% of their energy from renewable resources by 2010. However, there was no requirement for the state's utilities to increase the amount of energy from renewables after 2010. With the signing of SB x1-2, California will require both the investor-owned utilities and, now, municipal utilities to procure 33% of their energy from renewable resources by 2020. Sierra Club California has long called on California lawmakers to increase the standard to at least 33 percent by 2020.

As California moves to expand renewable power generation in the state, lawmakers and regulators always must keep in mind whether renewable sources of energy are equally sustainable in terms of environmental impacts or energy supply. A truly sustainable RPS that protects wild places while fully empowering clean-energy projects represents the best pathway to a clean-energy future. While we support renewable energy, Sierra Club California will fight attempts to streamline the permitting process or weaken the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for renewable energy projects in ways that limit public participation or eliminate judicial review. Sierra Club California is urging additional reforms to ensure the continued success of a renewable energy program and provide green jobs:

### **Adopt Feed-In Tariffs.**

First, in designing a new approach to renewable energy, the Brown Administration and the California Legislature can look to programs that already work. A program, known as a "feed-in tariff," has already been successful in international markets, including in Germany. A "feed-in tariff" sets fixed and fair prices for renewable energy that is added to the electrical grid by home and business owners with renewable energy on their roofs or other privately-owned areas, rewarding investors exhausted by the uncertainty of our current system and ensuring that local jobs are created as California pursues clean energy development. In his Clean Energy Jobs Plan, Governor Brown calls for California to develop 12,000 megawatts of localized energy by 2020 through a system of calibrated renewable power payments.

### **Expand Community Choice.**

Second, Sierra Club California is co-sponsoring Senate Bill 790 by Senator Leno this year that would strengthen existing law related to Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) to foster fair competition and allow local governments to pursue CCA without undue barriers and excessive burdens. Under CCA programs, California cities and counties can aggregate the electricity loads of residents, businesses, and public facilities and procure the electricity to serve those loads, thus competing with the investor-owned utility serving their area. Local governments can use CCA programs as a tool to increase the use of renewable power resources, to achieve substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, and to create new local jobs. Marin Energy Authority, the only CCA operating in the state, has the highest delivered renewable energy percentage in the state at 27% renewable for 2010. California needs more programs like this.

California is once again taking the lead in setting achievable goals and developing new sources of clean, renewable power. With an estimated 100,000 megawatts of developable in-state renewable energy capacity and building partnerships with neighboring states, we can keep the momentum going by continuing to make policy choices that treat clean and safe renewable energy as a priority for California's environment and its economy.



CAPITOL PHOTO: MANZANITA PROJECT

# DIRECTOR'S CORNER

## PUTTING A STOP TO THE BILLS THAT WILL DAMAGE OUR ENVIRONMENT

Dear Sierrans and Supporters,

When T. S. Eliot called April “the cruelest month,” he was probably not thinking of the California Legislature, but your Sierra Club California advocates have had our hands full this month. As you will read elsewhere in this issue of Capitol Voice, we cheered the enactment of a 33% clean energy standard and moved 2 of our own sponsored bills through their first policy committees.

But I want to tell you more about a very important part of our work that does not get much attention – stopping the bad bills. Every year our California Legislative Committee identifies legislation that, if passed, would actually damage our state’s air, water and natural resources. This year has seen an especially large number of such bills, as the polluters and developers seek to exploit the poor economy to achieve rollbacks in environmental laws.

For example, Senator Anthony Cannella (R-Ceres) has introduced SB 241, which would allow 125 projects to be exempted from judicial review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The bill is a bad idea left over from the Schwarzenegger Administration, and would create a feeding frenzy of developers lobbying for their own exemptions. Sierra Club California, along with many allies, strongly opposes this bill, as we oppose various other efforts to weaken CEQA.

This year has also seen a bumper crop of bills that would make it harder for environmental agencies to adopt safeguards for public health, workplace safety and ecological preservation. One of these, SB 591, authored by Senator Ted Gaines (R-Fair Oaks), would require each state agency to reduce its total number of regulations by 33%, without regard to whether those rules provide important benefits. Standards set by agencies like the Air Resources Board, Fish and Game Commission and Energy Commission have reduced pollution, protected habitat and saved money for Californians, but polluting industries are pushing to weaken vital protections, and too few politicians are willing to stand up to them.

Sierra Club California is one of the few groups that are active in opposing the full range of attacks on our environmental laws. Whether these bad bills are authored by Republicans or Democrats, we speak up in defense of California’s coast, forests, deserts, air, water and community health. At some of the legislative hearings we are literally the only environmental group taking this position, because we know that, as we continue to seek advances in ecological protection, we also need to prevent rollbacks of the hard fought gains we have made in the past.

Thank you for your past support! Please consider making a donation today to continue staff lobbying and advocacy activities at their current level, to assist our annual Lobby Day in Sacramento, to help publication of our annual Legislative Report Card on votes affecting California’s environment, and , assist in underwriting CNRCC and CLC activities and our organizing of actions on statewide issues by grassroots activists across California.

**Forever Green,**

*Bill Magavern*



801 K St., Suite 2700  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone (916) 557-1100

Fax (916) 557-9669

[www.sierraclubcalifornia.org](http://www.sierraclubcalifornia.org)

Bill Magavern  
Director

Jim Metropulos  
Senior Advocate

Michael Endicott  
Advocate

Linda Zablony-Hurst  
Deputy Director

Amanda Wallner  
Legislative Aide

Lesli Daniel  
Organizer



# The California Environmental Quality Act: *Why We Care So Much*

- The Primary Law that Protects People's Say in how their Local Community Develops -

The core function of CEQA is to make sure potential significant impacts of any development project are adequately examined before a final local approval is given to proceed so those impacts are eliminated, or feasible mitigation measures to minimize those impacts are adopted. An environmental impact report (EIR) must accurately describe the proposed project, identify and analyze significant environmental impacts expected to result from the project, identify mitigation measures to reduce those impacts to the extent feasible, and evaluate a range of reasonable alternatives to the project. If mitigation measures are incorporated into a project, the agency must adopt a monitoring program to ensure compliance with those measures. Impacts that must be examined include those on land, air, water, minerals, flora, fauna, noise, and objects of historic or aesthetic significance.

**CEQA assures the best local development decisions possible by:**

1) making sure that all the information on the nature and design of the project is gathered in one place; 2) identifying and examining those impacts in the EIR or a mitigated negative declaration; 3) providing opportunity for the local community, affected by a project, to express concerns and provide potential solutions to eliminate or minimize potential impacts to the decision makers before the final decision is made (Many times the public is the best source of local information.); and, 4) requiring that feasible mitigation measures, or improvements in design, be included in the project's final permits. CEQA does allow a local government to approve a project without mitigation measures with findings of "overriding concerns and considerations."

**The Problem:** CEQA makes sure we, who live in the communities surrounding a project, have a chance to ameliorate the significant impacts of the project on our health, living conditions and the environment for decades to come. General exemptions for a project from CEQA in advance of the otherwise required CEQA review process completely gags our voice on what happens to our community, transferring local land use decisions to Sacramento; and, they give the appearance that Sacramento can be "bought" by developers who can hire lobbyists, hundreds of miles away from the community. Ordinary citizens can't afford their own lobbyists or to travel to Sacramento (because of expense, work and/or family life). An exemption that blocks citizen access to the courts is the worst kind because it creates a perception of a two tier system of justice in America, one for the wealthy and one for the rest of us. It raises the claim that "wealthy people only have to play by the law as long as it cuts in their favor, but if we try to enforce the law, they can "buy" their way out" of the laws by which the rest of us must live.

**The Message:** Ever since the supposedly "one time event" exemption bill for the City of Industry Football Stadium was rushed through in the last hours of session 2009, the number of CEQA exemptions sought have been increasing. Developers for various projects are lurking behind the Capitol curtains looking to gain exemptions for sports sites, industrial facilities and giant infrastructure projects. When you meet with your representatives, ask them to help us keep local land use decisions at the local level, protecting our ability to make sure our communities remain healthy and are sustainable into the future. "Please protect my right to have a say in local land use decisions that keep the air I breathe clean, the water I drink safe and the conditions in which I will live bearable." And, thanks for your help from your intrepid lobbyists in Sacramento. We couldn't do it without your local voices!



## ***Conserve and Protect California's Coast!***

Sierra Club California has launched the California Coast Resilient Habitats Campaign. (CCRHC) The campaign focuses on protecting, connecting, and restoring California's coastal habitats to allow our wildlife (and humans too) to adapt to the worst effects of sea level rise and variations in temperature and precipitation due to climate change. It is being led and implemented by a Local Delivery Team (LDT) made up of volunteer activists and staff: Volunteers/Dave Grubb, David Kossack, Vicki Lee, Arthur Feinstein, Julie Verran, Bill Allayaud; and, Staff/Linda Zablotsny-Hurst, Lesli Daniel, Andrew Christie.

While the Campaign will eventually address habitat conservation from the ocean up through coastal watersheds (see CCRHC map at: [sierraclubcalifornia.org/campaigns/resilienthabitats/thecampaign/](http://sierraclubcalifornia.org/campaigns/resilienthabitats/thecampaign/)), the initial focus is on how sea level rise will affect our vulnerable shoreline and what we can do about it. As sea level rises--as it is already doing--low-lying lands are submerged or turned brackish, bluffs are eroded, and coastal development is threatened or destroyed. These effects are already happening, and even if human greenhouse-gas emissions were stopped or largely reduced tomorrow, we'd be facing these problems for decades. We must find ways to adapt to this inevitability.

Building a seawall is often the first response when the sea threatens property. Seawalls, though, are expensive to build and maintain, and are destructive to habitats and beaches. The Pacific Institute estimates that it would cost \$14 billion to armor California's coast against sea-level

*continued on page 7*

# Testify!

"I tell my friends that they can get involved, go talk to the government in person where the government has to sit there and listen, but they are just too scared." The incredibly friendly woman from the information desk at the California Capitol is riding the elevator with me to the fourth floor to show me where I need to go. I'm here to testify for Sierra Club California in support of Senate Bill 1x 2, requiring utilities to get 33% of their electricity from renewable energy sources. And, I can understand why the information lady's friends are too scared to do this. My heart is beating and my palms are sweating, and all I need to do is relay the message that Jim Metropulos, Senior Advocate, told me and that I wrote down: "My name is Monika Jansen from Sierra Club California and we support this bill." That's it. I'm not expressing my own opinion, I'm not talking to the government on my own behalf, but I'm still terrified.

I step off the elevator and the information woman points me toward room 447. I'm a bit early and the only other people in the room all appear to know each other. I suppose they are veteran lobbyists. They all seem so laid back and jovial, as though they are chatting in the break room at work. The committee members slowly trickle into the room and sit behind a raised half-oval table. As each member arrives a woman sitting at a separate desk closer to the audience places a name plaque in front of them next to their microphone. Before the hearing commences a man and a woman arrive with files and notebooks bursting with papers and sit at a table in front of the committee, looking up at them. It looks like they are on trial, defending themselves to a panel of judges. But then one of the committee members starts joking with the man at the table, and after a few minutes I understand that he is Senator Simitian, the author of the bill. And the woman is his staffer on point. Some of the more seasoned looking lobbyists join in the conversation and suddenly I realize how silly I had been to see the committee as an ominous panel of judges. They are clearly just people at work, having a chat before getting down to business.

Presently, Assemblymember Chesbro, the chair, calls the committee to order. Senator Simitian and his staffer talk about the bill, and I am reminded again of a trial. The committee members ask questions about the bill, most of which are thinly veiled attacks or expressions of support. Assemblymember Chesbro states that he believes that the bill will be a historic victory for the environment, as important as AB 32 in 2006. I think he may be prone to hyperbole.

Finally the time arrives when people are to voice their support. People get in line. I wait a second to make sure I can watch other people so that I don't embarrass myself. It's a good thing, too, because everyone before me begins his or her testimony with, "Mr. Chairman, Committee Members" and a small nod toward the raised table. I repeat my statement in my head over and over again. My nerves are back, and I can't believe I ever thought that these were just people at work. They are important! What is little me doing here, sitting at this little table in front of them, wasting their time! But it's my turn. I'm scared and I accidentally make eye contact with Chesbro. He gives me an encouraging smile. I say, "Mr. Chairman, Committee Members. My name is Monika Jansen from Sierra Club California and we support this bill." I look at Chesbro again, who gives me a little nod, and I get up to go back to my seat in the audience.

Having finished my task, I relax and listen to the other people testifying. Far more people support it than oppose it, and, in the end, the bill passes through the Assembly Committee on Natural Resources. I take full credit for that. - **Monika Jansen, Sierra Club CA Intern**



## SIERRAN SPOTLIGHT

Ray Pingle fell in love with the High Sierras as a young child, during summer camping trips in Yosemite with his family. This love and his desire to preserve California's environment for future generations led him to the Sierra Club. When he was 30, Ray joined the population stabilization committee, serving as chair of the national committee in 1994. That year, he presented to a group of NGOs in Cairo at the United Nations International Conference on Population and Development. Although Ray took a break from his environmental activism for several years, three years ago, after he retired as a Healthcare Information Technology Consultant, Ray decided to get more involved on energy issues in California to help solve the climate change problem. With encouragement from



Sierra Club California Director Bill Magavern, Ray joined the Regional Conservation Committee's Energy-Climate Committee (ECC). It wasn't long before Ray was presenting SCCA's positions on renewable energy in front of the California Air Resources Board and the California Energy Commission.

Ray now chairs the ECC's subcommittee on feed-in-tariffs (FIT), which are contracts that guarantee payment of fair standard prices, and resulting reasonable profits, to renewable energy (RE) generators over 20+ year terms. Ray points out that FITs are the most widely used and most effective policy in the world for accelerating (RE) deployment: accounting for a greater share of RE development than either tax incentives or renewable portfolio standard policies.

Over the last three years, Ray has written articles for the SF Bay Chapter Yodeler's annual clean energy issue of the Yodeler newsletter; which has gained him some unexpected notoriety in Japan – last year an economics professor in Tokyo flew Ray out to speak at an international academic conference on feed-in-tariffs after reading his articles in the Yodeler.

In 2011, Ray is looking forward to SCC working to support Governor Jerry Brown in implementing his campaign promise to support 12,000 MW of new distributed generation renewable energy by 2020 – which will, of course, call for implementation of a FIT.

# SIERRA CLUB CALIFORNIA APRIL 2011 PRIORITIES

## ~ *SUPPORT*

### 1. **AB 19 (Fong), water conservation:**

This would require the water metering or submetering of multi-unit residences like apartment buildings and condominiums that are constructed after January 1, 2014. Metering or sub-metering ensures water users receive an appropriate signal regarding the volume and cost of their water use, and thus incentivizes residents to undertake responsible water use and conserve water. AB 19 is sponsored by Sierra Club California.

### 2. **SB 790 (Leno), electric utility choice:**

This would strengthen existing law related to Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) to foster fair competition and allow local governments to pursue CCA without undue barriers and excessive burdens. Local governments can elect to use CCA programs as a tool to foster healthy competition, to increase the use of renewable power resources, to achieve substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, and to create new local jobs. SB 790 is sponsored by Sierra Club California, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission and Marin Energy Authority.

### 3. **SB 468 (Kehoe), transportation planning in coastal zone:**

This would require CalTrans, for projects in the coastal zone, to assure that the project will achieve traffic congestion goals without compromising the unique features of the coastal zone. It will make sure that public transportation services, including commuter rail, in the corridor are completed prior to construction of the highway project.

### 4. **SB 246 (De Leon), global warming:**

This would help ensure that California avoids the fraud and other problems that have plagued global warming offset programs in other areas by improving the state's quality control system for offsets.

### 5. **SB 515 (Corbett), battery recycling:**

This would require the producers of household batteries to take responsibility for collecting and recycling them, keeping hazardous waste out of local landfills.

### 6. **SB 580 (Wolk), park conservation:**

This would prohibit state park lands from being sold off or used for other purposes incompatible with park purposes unless suitable substitute land is received in exchange. The bill would protect California's state park system against development proposals that are inconsistent with parks, and ensure that efforts to use state parks for non-park purposes result in no net loss of park lands for Californians.

### 7. **AB 650 (Blumenfeld), transit planning:**

This would create a Blue Ribbon Task Force for Public Transportation for the 21st Century. Improving access to public transit will be vital to facilitating mobility for Californians while reducing emissions that cause air pollution and global warming.

### 8. **AB 376 (Fong), shark preservation:**

This would create a Blue Ribbon Task Force for Public would ban the sale of shark fin soup in California. Slicing fins off of sharks is a barbaric practice that removes mass numbers of predators from our oceans.



## ~ *OPPOSE*

### 1. **SB 241 (Cannella), planning loopholes:**

This would create a Blue Ribbon Task Force for Public would give complete immunity from any legal challenge based on violations of the California Environmental Quality Act to 125 huge projects over five years.

### 2. **AB 942 (Huber and B. Berryhill), short-changing environmental enforcement:**

This would weaken enforcement of air, water, toxics and pesticide standards by diverting fines and penalties to the state's General Fund.



## SC CALIFORNIA LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE LEADS TEAM EFFORT

It sounds like the start of a joke: What do you get when bring together lawyers, scientist, engineers, land use planners and general rabble rousers together? This also describes the Sierra Club California (SCCA) Legislative Committee (CLC), and what they do is quite serious.

Though they have a serious charge—to determine the Sierra Club's position on the myriad of environmental related bills considered by the California legislature – this group still manages to have fun. There is no shortage of acronyms used for monitoring a bill's status, yet the group adds more, such as, WLH (Watch like a Hawk)!



(CLC with SCCA Advocates, March 2011)

The CLC decides based on policy decisions by the California Nevada Regional Conservation Committee, the on the ground experience of Chapters and Groups, and the CLC members extensive knowledge of current technology, emerging scientific evidence and existing law.

The CLC's rigorous review is part of the reason SCCA is so respected and effective in Sacramento. Another reason is the advocates' consistent communication with our legislators, their staffs, and our allies that work on environmental issues; as well as, their ongoing consultations with the CLC throughout the legislative season. All of this is a team effort that depends heavily upon a strong grassroots lobby that is well-coordinated with SCCA's Sacramento staff. You can be part of this effort by: joining the Cal-activist list at [amanda.wallner@sierraclub.org](mailto:amanda.wallner@sierraclub.org) ; joining the SCCA Activist Network to contact your legislator at [karenmaki@comcast.net](mailto:karenmaki@comcast.net); signing up for Lobby Day in August through [amanda.wallner@sierraclub.org](mailto:amanda.wallner@sierraclub.org) ; or, making an online or snail mail donation to support the legislative advocacy program.

While Sierra Club California takes positions on many bills, we focus our lobbying efforts on only a portion of the bills under consideration in the State Legislature. The April 2011 priority bills are listed on page 5. We know that there are bills beyond those listed that are important to activists. Activists often request that we publish a list detailing Sierra Club California's position on all legislation. We do not publish such a list as it quickly becomes dated as the legislation is amended. If you would like general information on the status of, or the Sierra Club's position on, a particular bill, please contact Amanda Wallner: 916-557-1100, ext. 107, [amanda.wallner@sierraclub.org](mailto:amanda.wallner@sierraclub.org). Or, contact me, Julia Bott, Chair, Sierra Club California Legislative Committee. [Jbott@batnet.com](mailto:Jbott@batnet.com) or 650.520.5673

## Walking for California's Wild Places:

Share Calvin "Cal" French's trek across California from the Colorado River to the Pacific Ocean at: <http://www.facebook.com/#!/pages/Sierra-Club-California/384970845399> Cal, who is a 42 year Sierra Club member and Santa Lucia Chapter activist, says that he intends his two month, 530 mile trek through public and conservancy wild lands in the heart of California "...to highlight the necessity of preserving and protecting what wildness remains. Not only that: If the habitats within this great wildness become cordoned off and isolated, they will eventually die of starvation."



### Join Us As A Sustaining Donor

A Few Dollars Invested Monthly in Sierra Club California, Combined with the Power of Our Grassroots, Can Yield Impressive Results in Sacramento for Our Environment!

We've personally made the commitment.....How about you?

- **Andy Katz - SCCA EX Com Chair** • **Julia Bott - CLC Chair**
- **Alan Carlton - CNRCC Chair**

Make your secure contribution online at: [www.sierraclubcalifornia.org](http://www.sierraclubcalifornia.org) Click "DONATE"

# The John Muir Trail of Activism

Not many know there are two John Muir Trails. One celebrates Mother Nature for 211 miles from Yosemite to Mount Whitney. The other runs 93 miles from San Francisco to Sacramento. Michael Endicott takes us along this little known route ending in the hearing room where the laws of man are crafted, sometimes in harmony and sometimes out of synch with the laws of Mother Nature. Catch pictures of vistas along this JM trail of cheers and tears at: <http://www.facebook.com/#!/pages/Sierra-Club-California/384970845399>



**0430** – The electronic rooster crows. It is pitch black outside.

**0515**- Six block walk to the subway under twinkling stars to get from Haight/Ashbury to

the bayside Ferry Building. Not many know there are two John Muir Trails. One celebrates Mother Nature for 211 miles from Yosemite to Mount Whitney. The other runs 93 miles from San Francisco to Sacramento. Michael Endicott takes us along this little known route ending in the hearing room where the laws of man are crafted, sometimes in harmony and sometimes out of synch with the laws of Mother Nature. Catch pictures of vistas along this JM trail of cheers and tears at: <http://www.facebook.com/#!/pages/Sierra-Club-California/384970845399>

**0430** – The electronic rooster crows. It is pitch black outside.

**0515**- Six block walk to the subway under twinkling stars to get from Haight/Ashbury to the bayside Ferry Building.

**0600**- Halo of sunrise just begins to crack the black of night. The City transitions from those who work all night to those who set up the sidewalks and buildings, anticipating the crowds of the day. **0610**- Catch the AMTRAK bus across the Bay Bridge to Emeryville to catch the Capitol Corridor train to Sacramento.

**0638**- Board the train for 90 mile run through the mixed use development of Emeryville and Berkeley, along the edge of the bay, through the oil refining town of Martinez, past the World War II “mouthball fleet” in Suisun Bay, through the pastures of Fairfield, finally crossing the railroad bridge into Sacramento at 0828. Good time for emails, drafting testimony or reading agency reports.

**0830** – Six blocks to 801 K Street mountain and up 27 flights to the Club’s Legislative eyrie. Our vista includes the Capitol Building, the Resources Building to the South, and the CalEPA building to the North. Change into double breasted suit with tasseled loafers and head over to the Capitol by 0915.

**0930** – Senate Natural Resources and Water Committee begins. “On behalf of all of the members of Sierra Club California, I stand to support Mother Nature.”

***Make the Trek. Join us for Lobby Day (August 28/29) and show Mother Earth the love. Start in Sac or with Michael in SF, but join us. For details go to [www.sierraclubcalifornia.org](http://www.sierraclubcalifornia.org)***

---

## Protect Our California Coasts - *continued from page 3*

rise and \$1.4 billion annually for maintenance. Further, seawalls cause the loss of beaches in front of and below the wall, erosion of adjacent properties, and limitation of beach access. And, they do not support even half the biological diversity of rocky areas. We have better options. We can stop placing people, businesses and infrastructure in harm’s way by not allowing development in projected hazard areas. We can lessen beach erosion through building natural habitats such as kelp forests and oyster beds, which act as wave breaks. We can protect shorelines by stabilizing dunes and establishing vegetation barriers. We can allow for the inland expansion of wetlands, valuable in their own right for their natural diversity, and as flood buffers. And, we can make choices to concede to nature and plan retreat from the shore, often the most cost-effective and safest option. To combat the armoring of our coast we are advocating for the best state planning and development policies before the Ocean Protection Council, California Coastal Commission, and Bay Conservation and Development Commission. These policies will influence state activities, guidance and permitting, and work their way down to the local level, where most land use decisions are made. We will also educate coastal residents, businesses, planning commissions and government representatives on how to protect shorelines using natural systems that protect and expand habitat. And, to build campaign momentum and capacity, we will center these activities, at first, on select coastal communities (chosen by the LDT) having a culture and political climate conducive to accepting positive shoreline protection policies. As the campaign is able to raise funds and grow, we intend to expand into protecting key conservation areas (marine sanctuaries, wildlife corridors, wetlands), updating the State Wildlife Action Plan, and protecting upland watersheds to eliminate stressors such as degraded water quality, excessive water diversion and fish and sediment barriers.

***What You Can Do - JOIN US!*** Volunteer to share a video in your community at Sierra Club meetings, in classrooms, at Board and Council meetings, at Planning Commission meetings, or at service club meetings. Research natural shoreline protection alternatives and translate the research into easy to understand factsheets for the public. Speak, or learn to speak, before state agencies about shoreline protection policy. Write articles and letters to the editor and opinion pieces on the coast. Table on shoreline protection at local events: We’ll teach you the issues and provide the materials. We are seeking interested volunteers in the Central Coast and Los Angeles areas to serve on the Local Delivery Team, the leadership body for the campaign. Volunteers with advanced graphic and/or software skills would be highly valued. There is a job for any interested volunteer. **To get involved, call me, Lesli Daniel, Campaign Organizer, at 916-557-1100x105 or drop me an email at [Lesli.Daniel@SierraClub.org](mailto:Lesli.Daniel@SierraClub.org).**



801 K Street, Suite 2700  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Non Profit ORG  
US postage  
PAID  
Permit #1890  
Sacramento, CA



Follow Sierra Club California at [www.twitter.com/sierraclubca](http://www.twitter.com/sierraclubca)

Not everyone can make a large gift to protect the environment during their lifetime, but you can preserve the environment for generations to come by remembering Sierra Club in your will. There are many gift options available. We can even help you plan a gift for Sierra Club California.

FOR MORE INFORMATION AND  
CONFIDENTIAL ASSISTANCE, CONTACT:

**Sierra Club Gift Planning Program**  
85 Second Street Second Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105  
gift.planning@sierraclub.org • (800) 932-4270

# Create a Lasting Legacy



Explore, enjoy and protect the planet